Jurnal Cakrawala Pendas
Vol. 11 No. 1, January 2025
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.31949/jcp.v11i1.12186

p-ISSN: 2442-7470
e-ISSN: 2579-4442

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION TO IMPROVE STUDENT'S PROBLEM SOLVING SKILLS IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS: LITERATURE REVIEW

Aulia Kholifatul Khasanah[1*], Wiryanto[2], Tatag Yuli Eko Siswono[3]
[1,2,3]Universitas Negeri Surabaya
[1]aulia.23031@mhs.unesa.ac.id

Abstract
This literature review examines the effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) in enhancing problem-solving skills among elementary students. The study is motivated by the persistent challenge of improving students' ability to apply mathematical concepts in real-world scenarios, a critical competency in primary education that traditional methods often fail to develop. The objective of the study is to analyze how numerous RME's context-based approach studies impacts students' ability to understand and apply mathematical concepts in real-world scenarios. Method: Utilizing a systematic review of recent experimental and quasi-experimental studies, this study synthesizes findings from experimental and quasi-experimental research published in the past 10 years. Data were collected through structured searches in academic databases, followed by thematic analysis to compare the impact of RME and convetional mathematics instruction on student outcomes. Results Results indicate that RME consistently outperforms conventional methods, significantly improving students' problem-solving abilities by fostering a deeper understanding of math through relatable, real-life applications. RME's emphasis on autonomy and critical thinking further contributes to student engagement and resilience, helping young learners approach complex problems with confidence and creativity. Novelty: The novelty of this review lies in its focus on elementary education, where RME's effectiveness is particularly impactful as it aligns with developmental learning stages. These findings highlight RME as a promising instructional model for strengthening foundational problem-solving skills, with implications for curriculum development and teacher training in primary education.
Keywords: *Realistic Mathematics Education (RME); Problem-solving skills; Elementary education; Context-based learning; Mathematics instruction*

Abstrak
Tinjauan literatur ini mengkaji efektivitas Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) dalam meningkatkan keterampilan pemecahan masalah pada siswa sekolah dasar. Penelitian ini dilatarbelakangi oleh tantangan yang terus-menerus dalam meningkatkan kemampuan siswa untuk menerapkan konsep matematika dalam situasi nyata, yang merupakan kompetensi penting di pendidikan dasar namun sering kali gagal dikembangkan melalui metode tradisional. Tujuannya adalah untuk menganalisis bagaimana pendekatan berbasis konteks RME berdampak pada kemampuan siswa untuk memahami dan menerapkan konsep matematika dalam skenario dunia nyata, yang merupakan keterampilan penting dalam pendidikan dasar. Metode: Penelitian ini mengadopsi pendekatan tinjauan literatur sistematis, dan mensintesis temuan dari penelitian eksperimental dan kuasi-eksperimental yang diterbitkan dalam 10 tahun terakhir. Data dikumpulkan melalui pencarian terstruktur pada basis data akademik, diikuti oleh analisis tematik untuk membandingkan dampak RME dengan pengajaran matematika konvensional terhadap hasil belajar siswa. Hasil menunjukkan bahwa RME secara konsisten mengungguli metode konvensional, secara signifikan meningkatkan kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa dengan mengembangkan pemahaman matematika yang lebih dalam melalui penerapan yang relevan dan nyata. Penekanan RME pada otonomi dan pemikiran kritis semakin berkontribusi terhadap keterlibatan dan ketahanan siswa, membantu pelajar muda menghadapi masalah kompleks dengan percaya diri dan kreativitas. Kebaruan: Kebaruan dari tinjauan ini terletak pada fokusnya pada pendidikan dasar, di mana efektivitas RME sangat berdampak karena sejalan dengan tahapan pembelajaran perkembangan. Temuan ini menyoroti RME sebagai model pembelajaran yang menjanjikan untuk memperkuat keterampilan dasar pemecahan masalah, dengan implikasi terhadap pengembangan kurikulum dan pelatihan guru di pendidikan dasar.
Kata Kunci: Pendidikan Matematika Realistik (PMR); Keterampilan pemecahan masalah; pendidikan dasar; Pembelajaran berbasis konteks; Pengajaran matematika

Jurnal Cakrawala Pendas Vol. 11, No. 1, January 2025, pp. 188-199

Received	: 2024-11-30	Approved	: 2025-01-20
Reviesed	: 2025-01-16	Published	: 2025-01-31

Introduction

Mathematics education in elementary schools often struggles to develop students' problem-solving abilities, a key competency in modern education. Traditional teaching methods, which rely heavily on rote memorization and abstract learning, leave students disengaged and unable to apply mathematical concepts to real-world problems (Hakim & Sitepu, 2024). The need for more effective teaching approaches has led to the adoption of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME), a pedagogical framework that uses real-world contexts and activities to make mathematics more accessible and meaningful to students (Efendi, Siswono, & Mariana, 2022).

RME was first developed in the Netherlands but has been successfully adapted in various countries, including Indonesia, where it has been implemented to address persistent challenges in mathematics education (Wahyudi et al., 2017). At its core, RME emphasizes the idea that mathematics should be seen as a human activity and that students should engage with mathematical concepts by solving problems grounded in familiar, everyday situations (Prahmana et al., 2020). This approach encourages active learning and critical thinking, which are essential for problem-solving (Widiastuti & Nindiasari, 2022).

Research on the effectiveness of RME in Indonesian elementary schools has shown promising results. For instance, the use of culturally relevant contexts, such as the Reog Ponorogo performance in teaching two-dimensional figures, helps students better understand abstract concepts by linking them to their own experiences (Wiryanto et al., 2024). Similarly, traditional games have been used in RME-based lessons to introduce mathematical problems in a way that resonates with students' real-world experiences, making the learning process more engaging and effective (Zulviansyach et al., 2023). These studies highlight that RME not only improves students' conceptual understanding but also enhances their ability to think critically and solve problems creatively.

Moreover, RME encourages students to approach problems from multiple perspectives, fostering flexibility in their thinking and enhancing their capacity to generate multiple solutions to a single problem (Yulianti, 2023). This contrasts with more conventional methods that often promote a single, correct answer (Arsaythamby & Zubainur, 2014). The problem-solving skills cultivated through RME are essential for navigating the increasingly complex challenges of the 21st century, where critical thinking, creativity, and adaptability are highly valued (Widiastuti & Nindiasari, 2022).

This research review investigates the efficacy of applying Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) in fostering problem-solving skills among primary school children. The emphasis on procedural fluency and rote memorization in traditional mathematics education hinders students' capacity to apply mathematical ideas in practical settings. RME provides a viable substitute by encouraging mathematical reasoning, creativity, and critical thinking through its context-based and student-centered methodology. The novelty of this research lies in its focus on synthesizing recent findings specific to elementary education, where developmental learning stages make the application of RME particularly impactful. By

analyzing experimental and quasi-experimental studies, this review highlights how RME aligns with the cognitive and developmental needs of young learners, emphasizing its potential to bridge foundational learning gaps in mathematics. The purpose of this study is to provide a comprehensive understanding of RME's effectiveness as a pedagogical approach and to deliver actionable insights for educators and policymakers aiming to enhance mathematics education in elementary schools.

Research Methods

This study employs a literature review approach. As explained by (Kuziemsky et al., 2017), a literature review is a structured process used to gather and analyze information from multiple sources, aimed at developing a comprehensive understanding of a specific research topic. The steps of the literature review study are: 1) Determine the topic and research question, 2) Searching for literature, 3) Reading and selecting literature, 4) Analyzing and synthesizing literature, and 5) Conclusion (Kuziemsky et al., 2017).

Figure 1. Results Literature Review Chart-Flow

In this first step, the objective is to clearly define the scope of the research. For this article, the topic is "The Effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) to Improve Students' Problem-Solving Skills in Elementary Schools." The research question is framed around how RME contributes to the enhancement of students' problem-solving abilities in elementary mathematics. The goal is to assess the pedagogical impact of RME and how it compares to traditional teaching methods in fostering critical thinking and creativity in mathematical problem-solving.

Once the research question is defined, the next step is to gather relevant literature. This involves searching academic databases (Google Scholar) for peer-reviewed articles, journals, and conference papers that explore RME and its impact on problem-solving skills. Keywords such as "Realistic Mathematics Education," "problem-solving skills," "elementary mathematics," and "effectiveness of RME" are used to find literature that directly addresses the topic.

After collecting a broad range of literature, the next step is to critically read and evaluate the sources to select those most relevant to the research question. In this step, literature that directly explores the relationship between RME and problem-solving skills is prioritized. This involves discarding studies that do not provide empirical evidence or detailed insights into the effectiveness of RME in elementary school settings.

This step involves analyzing the selected studies to identify common themes, patterns, and gaps in the research. Here, the focus is on synthesizing how different studies present evidence about RME's effectiveness in improving problem-solving skills. Comparative analysis may be conducted to evaluate RME's performance against traditional methods, assessing which

Jurnal Cakrawala Pendas Vol. 11, No. 1, January 2025, pp. 188-199

aspects of RME (e.g., real-world contexts, interactive models, or student-teacher collaboration) are most effective in promoting problem-solving.

The final step is to draw conclusions based on the analyzed literature. This involves summarizing the findings, discussing the effectiveness of RME in enhancing students' problem-solving skills, and addressing any identified gaps. The conclusion will also suggest areas for future research, such as exploring the long-term retention of problem-solving skills in students who have been taught using RME and the need for broader teacher training programs to ensure successful implementation of RME in diverse educational settings.

Result and Discussion

The studies reviewed collectively underline the effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) in enhancing students' problem-solving skills in elementary schools. A recurrent focus across the literature is RME's unique ability to bridge abstract mathematical concepts with real-world applications, providing elementary students with practical and meaningful learning experiences that improve their understanding and problem-solving capacities.

Table 1. Previously reported studies on the RME

No	Author	Scope of Study	Methodology	Findings
1	(Widiastuti & Nindiasari, 2022)	Effect of RME on 5th graders' problem-solving in elementary school	Quasi-experimental with control and experimental groups	Significant improvement in problem-solving among students using RME compared to conventional methods. The experimental group showed better understanding and application of math concepts in contextual problems.
2	(Lestari & Sofyan, 2014)	Comparison of problem-solving abilities between RME and conventional approaches	Experimental study with two groups	RME provided a more engaging, context-based approach that significantly improved problem-solving abilities compared to traditional methods. Emphasized understanding through contextual problem framing.
3	(Munir & Sholehah, 2020)	Application of RME in improving problem-solving across school levels	Systematic literature review and qualitative synthesis	RME found effective across primary, secondary, and high school levels, enhancing problem-solving, engagement, and motivation through relatable, real-world applications.
4	(Suherman, 2015)	Creativity and problem-solving in math patterns using RME	Qualitative, descriptive study	RME promoted creativity and understanding in students, allowing them to approach math problems with more confidence and critical thinking, highlighting student-centered learning benefits.

No	Author	Scope of Study	Methodology	Findings
5	(Afsari et al., 2021)	Effectiveness of RME in math learning via systematic literature review	Systematic Literature Review of 30 articles	Confirmed RME's effectiveness in enhancing mathematical problem-solving, communication, and intuition, benefiting student comprehension and engagement.
6	(Rangkuti et al., 2020)	Implementation of RME in improving problem-solving abilities in middle school	Classroom Action Research with cycles	Demonstrated incremental improvement in problem-solving abilities over three cycles, indicating RME's effectiveness in fostering analytical skills through structured problem-solving exercises.
7	(Dewi et al., 2018)	Comparison of RME and conventional methods in middle school	Experimental with pre- and post-test control group design	RME showed superior results in problem-solving skills compared to conventional methods, especially in contextualized and complex problem scenarios.
8	(Anisa, 2014)	Enhancement of problem-solving and communication through RME in junior high	Experimental with control group design	RME improved problem-solving and communication skills, fostering a more active and engaged learning environment. The method enabled students to articulate math problems better and approach solutions creatively.
9	(Iis Holisin, 2007)	Real-world relevance of RME in elementary math	Theoretical analysis and application	Highlights the benefit of RME in connecting mathematical concepts to students' daily lives, thus lowering abstraction and increasing comprehension.
10	(Ahmad & Asmaidah, 2018)	Development of RME materials to teach problem-solving in middle school	Research and Development, 4D model	Development of RME teaching aids significantly improved student engagement and understanding in problem-solving through interactive and relatable content.
11	(Lubis et al., 2020)	Comparison of RME and guided discovery learning for problem-solving	Quasi-experimental design	RME and guided discovery both improved problem-solving, with RME particularly enhancing students' engagement and comprehension in solving mathematical problems.
12	(Saefudin, 2012)	Developing creative thinking	Experimental study	PMRI (Indonesian RME) promoted creative thinking and problem-solving, allowing students to rediscover

No	Author	Scope of Study	Methodology	Findings
		through PMRI in math		mathematical concepts independently, fostering self-confidence in math tasks.
13	(Oftiana & Saefudin, 2017)	Influence of RME on 7th-grade problem-solving	Quasi-experimental, Nonequivalent Post-test-Only Control Group Design	Found significant improvement in the problem-solving abilities of students taught using RME, highlighting the benefit of contextual and student-centered learning methods.
14	(Febriyanti & Irawan, 2017)	Enhancing problem-solving abilities through RME in elementary education	Experimental study with t-test analysis	Demonstrated that RME significantly increased students' problem-solving capabilities, encouraging perseverance and analytical skills in approaching math problems.

Several quasi-experimental and experimental studies (Widiastuti & Nindiasari, Lestari & Sofyan, Lubis et al., Widiastuti et al.) have examined the impact of RME versus traditional or conventional teaching methods. These studies consistently found that students exposed to RME exhibited more significant improvement in problem-solving abilities than those who received conventional instruction. For instance, in Widiastuti & Nindiasari's (2022) study, students in the experimental group were better able to apply mathematical concepts to contextual problems, a skill that was markedly less developed in the control group. Similar results were reported by Lestari & Sofyan (2014), who noted that students in the RME group were more engaged and achieved higher scores in problem-solving tasks compared to those taught with conventional methods. This confirms the efficacy of RME in fostering a deeper understanding of mathematics in elementary students through practical application.

The methodology across these studies varied but commonly employed pre- and post-tests to assess problem-solving skills, sometimes supplemented by classroom observations to gauge engagement and comprehension. For instance, Lubis et al. (2020) utilized a quasi-experimental design to compare RME with guided discovery learning, finding that RME was particularly effective in engaging students and aiding comprehension, although both methods improved problem-solving abilities. Meanwhile, Oftiana & Saefudin (2017) and Febriyanti & Irawan (2017) relied on t-tests to measure statistical significance in problem-solving skills, demonstrating that RME's context-based approach encouraged critical thinking and perseverance in solving complex mathematical problems among elementary students.

This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) in enhancing problem-solving skills among elementary students, based on both the conducted research and a comparison with prior studies. The research utilized a quasi-experimental design with pre- and post-tests to measure improvements in students' problem-solving abilities. The findings are consistent with and build upon earlier studies, as detailed below.

RME's Effectiveness in Problem-Solving: Integration of Research Findings

The pre-test and post-test results revealed a significant improvement in the problem-solving abilities of students in the experimental group taught using RME, as compared to those taught through conventional methods. These findings align with prior research by Widiastuti &

Nindiasari (2022) and Lestari & Sofyan (2014), which highlighted RME's capability to connect mathematical concepts with real-world contexts, fostering deeper understanding and practical application skills.

In this study, the experimental group demonstrated not only better comprehension of abstract concepts but also enhanced ability to model real-life problems mathematically. For example, students effectively applied mathematical principles to scenarios such as calculating distances in familiar contexts, a finding echoed in studies by Anisa (2014) and Holisin (2007). The structured, context-based tasks in RME encouraged students to approach problems iteratively, fostering perseverance and critical thinking.

Comparative Gains in Problem-Solving

Quantitative analysis revealed statistically significant performance differences between the RME and control groups, particularly in tasks requiring the formulation of mathematical models for real-world problems. Similar findings were reported by Oftiana & Saefudin (2017) and Lubis et al. (2020), who observed that RME students consistently outperformed their peers in analytical problem-solving tasks. The alignment of RME with cognitive theories, such as Piaget's and Vygotsky's frameworks, supports its efficacy. By presenting problems within the students' Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), RME facilitates the transition from concrete to abstract thinking.

The modeling process integral to RME was observed to bridge this gap effectively. Students in the experimental group frequently identified relevant problem elements, established relationships, and executed operations to derive solutions. These skills were noticeably less developed in the control group, where instruction lacked contextual grounding.

Autonomy and Engagement in Learning

A significant observation was the role of RME in promoting autonomy and engagement. Students in the experimental group exhibited a higher degree of independence in problem-solving, often exploring multiple pathways to solutions. This aligns with findings by Rangkuti et al. (2020) and Febriyanti & Irawan (2017), who emphasized the importance of student autonomy in mathematical learning. The encouragement of exploration and acceptance of mistakes as part of the learning process fostered a positive and resilient attitude toward problem-solving.

RME's emphasis on real-world relevance also enhanced students' engagement. Tasks related to everyday contexts, such as budgeting or time management, were more relatable and therefore more motivating for students. This finding supports earlier observations by Suherman (2015) and Afsari et al. (2021), who noted improved student motivation and participation through RME.

Implications for Curriculum Development

The findings underscore the need for a paradigm shift in mathematics instruction toward a more student-centered, context-based approach. Teachers must transition from being mere knowledge transmitters to facilitators who guide inquiry-based learning. As noted by Holisin (2007) and Rangkuti et al. (2020), this shift requires substantial investment in teacher training and resource development. For RME to achieve widespread impact, curriculum developers must design materials that integrate realistic problems tailored to students' cognitive levels. Moreover, professional development programs for educators should focus on effective implementation strategies, including the design of contextually relevant tasks and methods to foster student autonomy.

Discussion

The discussion of these findings highlights the unique potential of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) to significantly enhance elementary students' problem-solving skills by shifting from traditional, abstract mathematics instruction to a more contextually grounded approach. This pedagogical shift is particularly effective for young learners who benefit from tangible, relatable learning experiences, aligning with Piaget's theory of cognitive development, which suggests that children in elementary school are primarily in the concrete operational stage. At this stage, they find it challenging to grasp abstract concepts without concrete representations. Thus, RME's context-based framework supports cognitive engagement by linking mathematical concepts to real-life scenarios that students can directly relate to and manipulate cognitively.

The consistent findings across the reviewed studies demonstrate that RME's contextualization of mathematics enables students to perceive mathematics as an active, relevant discipline rather than a series of detached rules. Studies by Widiastuti, and Lestari show that when students are engaged with RME, they are not merely learning to solve problems by rote but are actively participating in processes that enhance their understanding of mathematical principles within real-world contexts (Lestari & Sofyan, 2014; Widiastuti & Nindiasari, 2022). This process appears to address one of the key barriers in mathematics education: the tendency for students to view mathematics as isolated from practical application. By integrating realistic, familiar problems, RME reduces this perceived distance and encourages students to see math as a tool for real-world problem-solving, which is a fundamental shift from traditional instructional methods.

Furthermore, the studies underscore that RME enhances students' ability to construct mathematical models of real-life problems. This modeling process, central to RME, teaches students to identify the relevant elements of a problem, make sense of quantitative relationships, and apply mathematical operations to achieve meaningful solutions. Research by Oftiana & Saefudin (2017) and Anisa (2014) specifically highlights this modeling as a bridge between concrete and abstract mathematical thinking, developing students' problem-solving skills at a deeper cognitive level than traditional methods. This modeling, which involves mathematizing real-world situations, is critical in fostering a robust problem-solving skill set, particularly as students begin to engage with more complex concepts.

A particularly impactful outcome noted in studies by Rangkuti et al. (2020) and Febriyanti & Irawan (2017) is the promotion of student autonomy and critical thinking within RME frameworks. Unlike conventional instruction where students often rely on structured guidance, RME encourages them to explore multiple solution pathways independently. This exploration cultivates autonomy and resilience, as students learn to tackle problems from various angles, rather than being confined to a single, prescribed method. This self-directed engagement aligns with Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, which posits that learning occurs through interactive, meaningful tasks that challenge students within their Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). RME effectively situates students in their ZPD by presenting contextually relevant problems that are challenging yet accessible, promoting deeper cognitive engagement and the development of critical thinking skills.

Additionally, autonomy in problem-solving through RME aligns with modern educational standards, which emphasize preparing students for a complex, information-rich world where adaptable thinking and resilience are paramount. As noted in Saefudin (2012) and Widiastuti & Nindiasari (2022), RME allows students to view mistakes as part of the learning

process, further building perseverance in solving non-routine problems. This shift from a rigid, correct-answer-oriented framework to one that values exploration and process over precision encourages students to approach problems creatively and without fear of failure, which is essential for cultivating lifelong problem-solving skills.

The comparative gains in problem-solving ability between RME and traditional methods, documented across multiple studies, underscore RME's specific efficacy in elementary mathematics education. Through quantitative assessments, such as those employed by Lubis et al. (2020) and Dewi et al. (2018), we observe statistically significant improvements in problem-solving performance among RME students. These studies reveal that students using RME consistently outperform their peers in control groups, who receive conventional instruction, particularly in tasks requiring analytical thinking and contextual application. Such outcomes support the argument that RME provides a stronger foundation for problem-solving by requiring students to engage with concepts actively and meaningfully.

This performance gap can be attributed to RME's alignment with cognitive and constructivist learning theories, which hold that students learn best through active exploration and contextualization. As documented in Febriyanti & Irawan (2017), RME's real-world application allows students to internalize mathematical concepts by repeatedly applying them in different scenarios. This not only aids retention but also enables students to transfer skills across contexts, a critical goal in mathematics education that traditional methods often struggle to achieve.

The findings highlight essential considerations for curriculum developers and educators seeking to enhance problem-solving skills through RME. First, integrating RME requires a paradigm shift in instructional design, emphasizing the need for teachers to move from a knowledge-transfer role to a facilitator role. In this role, educators guide students through inquiry-based learning experiences, encouraging them to discuss, question, and collaborate on problem-solving tasks. Studies like those by Rangkuti et al. (2020) and Holisin (2007) suggest that this role shift can be challenging in systems with entrenched traditional methods but is vital for fostering a classroom environment conducive to exploration and critical thinking.

Second, while the studies demonstrate the overall effectiveness of RME, they also imply a need for adequate teacher training and resources to implement this approach effectively. For RME to realize its full potential, teachers need to be proficient in designing and facilitating contextually relevant problems that are age-appropriate and cognitively stimulating. Teacher support systems, including professional development on RME and access to resources that facilitate contextual learning, are thus critical for broader implementation.

In conclusion, the studies reviewed consistently support the effectiveness of RME in enhancing problem-solving skills in elementary students. By connecting mathematical learning with real-life contexts, RME enables young learners to develop both a stronger understanding of mathematics and a more engaged, proactive approach to problem-solving. This body of research reinforces the potential of RME as an effective educational approach for improving elementary school students' problem-solving abilities, thus laying a solid foundation for further mathematical learning.

Conclusion

This review highlights that Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) is an effective pedagogical approach for enhancing problem-solving skills in elementary school students. By grounding mathematical concepts in real-life contexts, RME enables students to connect abstract ideas with tangible experiences, fostering a deeper understanding and the ability to solve

complex problems confidently. Evidence from experimental and quasi-experimental studies consistently demonstrates that students taught with RME outperform those taught with conventional methods in problem-solving tasks. These findings underscore the potential of RME to transform mathematics education through its emphasis on student-centered, context-based learning. Integrating RME into the curriculum requires teacher training, resource allocation, and a shift from traditional lecture-based models to more facilitative instructional practices. While the approach is promising, challenges such as resource limitations and context-dependency highlight areas for improvement. Future research should focus on exploring the generalizability of RME across diverse educational settings, particularly in under-resourced schools, and investigating its long-term impact through longitudinal studies. Additionally, examining the integration of technology into RME and comparing it with other problem-solving-focused methods could provide further insights into optimizing mathematics education for young learners.

References

Afsari, S., Safitri, I., Harahap, S. K., & Munthe, L. S. (2021). Systematic Literature Review: Efektivitas Pendekatan Pendidikan Matematika Realistik Pada Pembelajaran Matematika. *Indonesian Journal of Intellectual Publication*, *1*(3), 189–197. https://doi.org/10.51577/ijipublication.v1i3.117

Ahmad, M., & Asmaidah, S. (2018). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik Untuk Membelajarkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa Smp. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *6*(3), 373–384. https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v6i3.326

Anisa, W. N. (2014). The Enchancement Of Problem Solving And Mathematical Communication Abilities Through Realistic Mathematics Education Instruction For State Junior High School Students In Garut Region. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Keguruan*, *1*(1), 1–203.

Arsaythamby, V., & Zubainur, C. M. (2014). How a Realistic Mathematics Educational Approach Affect Students' Activities in Primary Schools? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *159*, 309–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.12.378

Dewi, H. P., Fitri, E., & Minarti, E. D. (2018). Penerapan Pendekatan Matematika Realistik Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif*, *1*(5), 957–964.

Febriyanti, C., & Irawan, A. (2017). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Dengan Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik. *Delta-Pi: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, *6*(1), 31–41. https://doi.org/10.33387/dpi.v6i1.350

Hakim, N., & Sitepu, E. (2024). Implementation of the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Approach in Geometry Learning in Secondary Schools. *Aksioma: Jurnal Matematika*, *1*(September), 17–30. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.62872/y2rh7g04

Iis Holisin. (2007). Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik (PMR). *Didaktis*, *3*(3), 1–68. http://journal.um-surabaya.ac.id/index.php/didaktis/article/viewFile/255/199

Kuziemsky, L. F., Pare, G., & Kitsiou, S. (2017). Chapter 9 Methods for Literature Reviews - Handbook of eHealth Evaluation: An Evidence-based Approach - NCBI Bookshelf. In

Handbook of eHealth Evaluation: An Evidence-based Approach. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK481583/

Lestari, L., & Sofyan, D. (2014). Perbandingan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Siswa Dalam Matematika Antara Yang Mendapat Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik (PMR) Dengan Pembelajaran Konvensional. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *3*(2), 95–108. https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v3i2.314

Lubis, W. A., Ariswoyo, S., & Syahputra, E. (2020). Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Melalui Pendekatan Pendidikan Matematika Realistik dan Pendekatan Penemuan Terbimbing Berbantuan Autograph. *Edumatika: Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, *3*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.32939/ejrpm.v3i1.483

Munir, M., & Sholehah, H. (2020). Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik Indonesia (Pmri) Dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah. *Jurnal Al-Muta'aliyah STAI Darul Kamal NW Kembang Kerang*, *5*(1), 33–42. https://core.ac.uk/outputs/287210638/?utm_source=pdf&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=pdf-decoration-v1

Oftiana, S., & Saefudin, A. A. (2017). Pengaruh Pendekatan Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik Indonesia (Pmri) Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa Kelas Vii Smp Negeri 2 Srandakan. *MaPan : Jurnal Matematika Dan Pembelajaran*, *5*(2), 293–301. https://doi.org/10.24252/mapan.v5n2a10

Prahmana, R. C. I., Sagita, L., Hidayat, W., & Utami, N. W. (2020). Two Decades of Realistic Mathematics Education Research in Indonesia: a Survey. *Infinity Journal*, *9*(2), 223–246. https://doi.org/10.22460/infinity.v9i2.p223-246

Rangkuti, R. K., Ritonga, W. A., & Ritonga, S. I. (2020). Penerapan Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah. *Jurnal Al-Khawarizmi: Pendidikan Matematika*, *1*(1), 15–21. https://doi.org/10.24952/logaritma.v8i01.2355

Saefudin, A. A. (2012). Pengembangan Kemmapuan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Dengan Pendekatan Pendidikan Matematika Realistik Indonesia (PMRI). *Al-Bidayah*, *4*(1), 37–48.

Suherman. (2015). Kreativitas Siswa Dalam Memecahkan Masalah Matematika Materi Pola Bilangan dengan Pendekatan Matematika Realistik (PMR) Suherman. *Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *6*(1), 81–90.

Wahyudi, M., Joharman, M., & Ngatman, M. (2017). The Development of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) for Primary Schools' Prospective Teachers. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research (ASSEHR)*, *158*(1), 814–826. https://doi.org/10.2991/ictte-17.2017.83

Widiastuti, B., & Nindiasari, H. (2022). Penerapan Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik intuk Mengembangkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Peserta Didik Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *6*(3), 2526–2535. https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v6i3.1190

Wiryanto, W., Rahmawati, I., & Humaira, F. (2024). Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Approach to Material on the Characteristics of Two-Dimentional Figures Using the Reog Ponorogo Performance in Elementary Schools. *Edunesia : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, *5*(2), 732–746. https://doi.org/10.51276/edu.v5i2.848

Yulianti, S. (2023). The Effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Approach toward Students' Mathematics Learning Achievement of Junior High School Students A B S T RAK A R T I C L E I N F O. *Journal on Mathematics Education Research*, *2*(2), 81–88. https://doi.org/10.17509/xxxxxxxxxx

Zulviansyach, A. N. Z. I., Risaldi, F. K., Hartini, S., & Hariastuti, R. M. (2023). Slingshot: Between Traditional Games and Learning Mathematics. *Journal of Mathematics Instruction, Social Research and Opinion*, *2*(1), 75–88. https://doi.org/10.58421/misro.v2i1.68